

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,589	08/22/2005	David W. Mazyck	A8713	5632	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 08/06/200 ION PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SAVAGE, M	SAVAGE, MATTHEW O	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	.,	1797			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/522,589	MAZYCK ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	Matthew O. Savage	1797		

	Matthew O. Savage	1797					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 24 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	n. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted ciairris.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		.,	,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•					
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance				
See Continuation Sheet.	DTO/SB/08) Donor No(s)						
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other: 	F10/36/06) Paper No(s)						
	/Matthew O Savage/ Primary Examiner						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 1797

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Zhang fails to disclose catalyst particles that are dispersed in the substrate is not agreed with since the substrate can be formed of Davisiin's ilica gel (see lines 10-11 of col. 9) which has a network of interconnecting pores that would be impregnated with tianium dioxide during the process steps outlined on lines 32-48 of col. 10 of the Zhang reference. In addition, some of the pores would be also filled with the platinum-tilanium dioxide slurry as taught on lines 50-64 of col. 10 of Zhang, Applicant's argument that the prior art fails to specify the steps of maintaining a homogeneous distribution of titanium dioxide throughout the gelation process is noted but fails to apply since such a step fails to appear in instant claim 1.